Thermal, UV, and Impact Constraints on Pre-Cambrian Terrestrial Evolution:

The Impossibility of Complex Multicellular Life on Land Before 600 MYA.

Authors: Ashley M. Gjøvik, B.S., J.D. (ashleymgjovik@protonmail.com) | **Date**: June 25 2025

Abstract

While marine environments are widely recognized as the birthplace of early life, many evolutionary studies continue to assume that land surfaces were suitable for complex multicellular evolution much earlier than environmental evidence supports. Recent advances in geology, astrobiology, and climate science now provide detailed measurements of when Earth's land surfaces first became livable for complex life.

This synthesis examines evidence from four major environmental factors: asteroid impacts, extreme surface temperatures, deadly UV radiation levels, and global ice ages. This data is combined with molecular clock estimates and fossil evidence to determine when terrestrial environments first became habitable. The analysis reveals measurable environmental barriers that made terrestrial surfaces unsuitable for complex multicellular evolution until approximately 600-550 million years ago.

Specifically: (1) Surface temperatures of 70-100°C during Earth's early history exceeded the thermal limits of even the most heat-resistant organisms by 20-40°C; (2) UV radiation levels were three orders of magnitude higher than today, reducing organism survival to less than 3% within 20 minutes of surface exposure; (3) asteroid impacts capable of vaporizing entire oceans occurred as recently as 4.3 billion years ago; and (4) global ice ages lasting approximately 85 million years covered terrestrial surfaces during critical periods when molecular clocks indicate major evolutionary diversification.

These constraints demonstrate that evolutionary radiations in major lineages—including fungi (Glomeromycota, 760-720 MYA), gastropods (550-500 MYA), and soil protists (650-550 MYA)—necessarily occurred in marine environments, with terrestrial colonization following the establishment of habitable surface conditions. While extremophile microorganisms may have survived in specialized terrestrial refuges, complex multicellular ecosystem development required marine environments during these periods.

Recognizing marine origins of these lineages provides a more accurate evolutionary framework that integrates environmental constraints with genetic evidence.

Keywords

snowball earth, terrestrial habitability, early evolution, environmental constraints, Hadean-Archean, Paleoproterozoic, Neoproterozoic, molecular clocks, marine origins, evolutionary radiations, extremophiles, UV radiation, Cryogenian glaciations, evolutionary biology, educational theory.

Citation

Gjøvik, Ashley M. "Thermal, UV, and Impact Constraints on Pre-Cambrian Terrestrial Evolution: The Impossibility of Complex Multicellular Life on Land Before 600 MYA." *The Journal of Decolonized Ecology and Evolution* 1, no. 1 (June 25 2025). DOI 10.5281/zenodo.15739885

Table of Contents

Introduction

The Question of Early Terrestrial Life

When did Earth's land surfaces first become suitable for complex life? This fundamental question has profound implications for understanding evolutionary history, yet it remains surprisingly unresolved in current scientific literature. While researchers recognize that life originated in the oceans, assumptions about when terrestrial environments became hospitable for complex multicellular evolution often persist without critical examination of environmental constraints.

The stakes of this question extend far beyond academic curiosity. Molecular clock analyses increasingly place major evolutionary radiations—including the diversification of fungi, gastropods, and soil-dwelling protists—in time periods when geological evidence suggests terrestrial surfaces were hostile to complex life. This creates a fundamental conflict between evolutionary timelines and environmental reality that demands resolution.

The Marine-Terrestrial Divide

For over a century, marine environments have been recognized as life's birth place. The oceans provided stable temperatures, protection from radiation, abundant dissolved nutrients, and buffered chemistry—conditions that fostered the emergence of cellular life, photosynthesis, and the first eukaryotic cells. This marine origin story is uncontroversial and well-supported by multiple lines of evidence.

However, a curious inconsistency has emerged in how we discuss later evolutionary events. Many lineages currently found on land are routinely described as having "terrestrial origins" or representing "ancient terrestrial evolutionary radiations," often based solely on their modern ecological preferences. These characterizations frequently lack critical evaluation of whether terrestrial environments during the proposed timeframes could have supported the complex evolutionary processes that molecular evidence suggests occurred.

This oversight has practical consequences. Students learn about "terrestrial fungi" that supposedly evolved during periods when land surfaces were encased in ice for tens of millions of years. Textbooks describe "ancient soil ecosystems" that predate the geological formation of stable soils. Research papers discuss "freshwater evolutionary radiations" during periods when persistent freshwater habitats were likely rare or nonexistent.

Environmental Reality Check

Recent advances in Earth system science provide unprecedented details about early environmental conditions. We now have quantitative data on surface temperatures, UV radiation levels, atmospheric composition, impact bombardment frequency, and the duration of global glaciation events. This wealth of environmental information enables us to establish precise temporal boundaries for when terrestrial evolution of complex multicellular life first became feasible.

The picture that emerges is striking: Earth's terrestrial environments remained fundamentally unsuitable for complex multicellular evolution far longer than commonly assumed. Surface conditions during much of Earth's history were not merely challenging—they were incompatible with the survival and evolutionary innovation of complex organisms.

Approach and Thesis

This synthesis examines four major environmental factors that constrained terrestrial evolution: extreme surface temperatures, lethal UV radiation, impact bombardment, and global glaciation events. This is the environmental reality that any proposed terrestrial evolutionary radiations must accommodate.

Quantitative environmental evidence indicates that terrestrial surface environments remained unsuitable for complex multicellular evolution and major ecosystem development until approximately 600-550 million years ago. This timeline coincides with the termination of Snowball Earth glaciations and the establishment of more stable atmospheric and surface conditions.

This conclusion does not diminish the remarkable achievements of early terrestrial life. Extremophile microorganisms likely survived and even thrived in specialized terrestrial refugia throughout Earth's history, developing extraordinary adaptations to hostile conditions. However, the environmental requirements for major evolutionary radiations and complex ecosystem development—the kinds of processes that molecular clocks indicate occurred in various lineages—were absent from terrestrial environments until much later than commonly assumed.

Implications and Framework

The implications extend beyond correcting specific evolutionary timelines. Acknowledging the marine origins of lineages currently characterized as "terrestrial" provides a more accurate and internally consistent framework for understanding life's history. It also opens new research directions, from investigating marine evolutionary ecology of supposed terrestrial lineages to studying extremophiles as windows into early terrestrial survival strategies.

This framework does not require abandoning existing research but rather building upon it with improved environmental context. Many current characterizations reflect the state of knowledge when environmental constraints were less well understood. As our understanding of early Earth conditions has advanced dramatically, evolutionary characterizations can be updated accordingly.

The following sections document the quantitative environmental evidence supporting this timeline, examine specific case studies where molecular clock data conflicts with environmental constraints, and explore the implications for evolutionary biology research and education. These updates represent normal scientific progress—the continuous refinement of understanding as new evidence becomes available.

Environmental Constraints on Complex Terrestrial Evolution

Understanding when terrestrial environments first became suitable for complex life requires examining the quantitative environmental constraints that shaped early Earth. Four major categories of evidence establish clear temporal boundaries: thermal extremes, UV radiation levels, impact bombardment, and global glaciation events. Each represents not merely challenging conditions, but barriers that exceeded survival thresholds for complex multicellular organisms by orders of magnitude.

Chronological Framework

Earth's environmental history can be divided into distinct periods based on habitability for complex terrestrial life:

Hadean-Archean (4.6-2.5 billion years ago): Extreme hostility characterized by impact bombardment, surface temperatures exceeding 70-100°C, toxic atmospheric composition, and sterilizing UV radiation levels. Complex multicellular evolution was categorically impossible on terrestrial surfaces.

Paleoproterozoic-Mesoproterozoic (2.5-1.0 billion years ago): Continued constraints from UV exposure, temperature extremes, and geochemical instability precluded diverse ecosystem development, though some environmental amelioration began.

Neoproterozoic (1.0-0.54 billion years ago): Snowball Earth glaciation events eliminated terrestrial surface ecosystems for approximately 85 million years during critical periods of eukaryotic diversification, creating the final major barrier to terrestrial evolution.

This chronology establishes that suitable conditions for complex terrestrial evolution emerged only around 600-550 million years ago, coinciding with Snowball Earth termination and atmospheric stabilization.

Thermal Barriers: Beyond Survival Limits

Hadean and Archean surface temperatures, reconstructed from oxygen isotope ratios and mineral assemblages, consistently exceeded thermal limits for complex multicellular organisms. Major oceans formed when surface environments reached approximately 100°C, with atmospheric CO_2 levels potentially exceeding 10 atmospheres (de Wit & Furnes, 2016). Surface temperatures of 70-100°C persisted through much of this period (Knauth, 2005), with some models indicating a linear cooling from 100°C to 70°C over the entire Hadean Eon (Sleep et al., 2001).

These temperatures exceed thermal tolerance limits for complex multicellular organisms by 20-40°C above even the most extreme hyperthermophilic organisms known today. While some extremophile microorganisms could potentially survive such conditions, the thermal stress would prevent the development of complex tissues, coordinated developmental programs, and stable ecosystem interactions required for multicellular evolution.

The persistence of these extreme temperatures until late Proterozoic crustal stabilization meant that stable, temperate terrestrial surface environments necessary for sustained complex ecosystem development simply did not exist (Reinhard & Planavsky, 2011).

UV Radiation: A Sterilization Environment

Prior to approximately 2.4 billion years ago, Earth's atmosphere lacked sufficient oxygen to form a protective ozone layer. Without UV protection, terrestrial surfaces experienced radiation levels incompatible with complex organic molecule stability and multicellular organism survival (Cnossen et al., 2007).

The biological impact was severe and quantifiable:

- **Surface radiation levels**: Several orders of magnitude higher in the 200-300 nm wavelength range than current levels
- **DNA damage rates**: Approximately three orders of magnitude higher than present-day conditions (Cockell, 2000)
- **Organism viability**: Reduced to less than 3% within 20

minutes of surface exposure for common microorganisms like *Bacillus subtilis* (Cockell et al., 2000; Schuerger et al., 2003)

- **Depth penetration**: Even organisms at 30 meters depth in Archean oceans experienced UV damage equivalent to present-day surface conditions

The most biologically damaging UV-C wavelengths (260-265 nm), where DNA maximally absorbs radiation, created conditions fundamentally incompatible with complex ecosystem development or sustained evolutionary radiations. Recent atmospheric modeling confirms that UV flux remained lethal to complex multicellular organisms until well into the Proterozoic (Chen et al., 2019).

DNA damage rates dropped by two orders of magnitude only after effective ozone formation at approximately 5×10^{-3} present atmospheric oxygen levels around 2.4 billion years ago (Horneck et al., 2001). However, conditions suitable for complex terrestrial life required additional atmospheric evolution extending into the Neoproterozoic.

Impact Bombardment: Repeated Ecosystem Disruption

Evidence for the Late Heavy Bombardment (4.1-3.8 billion years ago) has been reinforced by lunar sample analysis and asteroid belt modeling (Morbidelli et al., 2018). The estimated median age of the last impact capable of vaporizing Earth's entire ocean is approximately 4.3 billion years ago, providing a fundamental upper limit on the origin of stable terrestrial ecosystems (Sleep et al., 2001).

During the Late Heavy Bombardment, impact events sufficient to disrupt complex terrestrial ecosystems and sterilize surface environments occurred every few million years. While global sterilization required extreme conditions, even conservative estimates indicate repeated disruption of any complex terrestrial ecosystem development throughout the Hadean and early Archean.

Terrestrial surface environments proved far more vulnerable to catastrophic disruption than buffered marine systems. This vulnerability persisted beyond the Late Heavy Bombardment, as demonstrated by major impact events throughout Earth's history, including proposed end-Permian impacts and Chicxulub-scale events that devastated terrestrial ecosystems while marine life showed greater resilience (Kaiho & Oshima, 2017).

Atmospheric and Chemical Constraints

Proterozoic terrestrial environments were heavily influenced by sustained volcanic activity, particularly during the rifting of Rodinia and late Neoproterozoic tectonic reorganization. Volcanic outgassing released substantial quantities of carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and halogens such as hydrogen chloride and hydrogen fluoride.

These conditions created:

- **Acidic precipitation**: Destabilizing surface chemistry
- **Metal leaching**: Creating toxic conditions for eukaryotic tissues
- **Episodic greenhouse pulses**: Following Snowball Earth events
- **Lack of buffering systems**: Without vegetated surfaces or developed soils

In the absence of a well-developed ozone layer and with a geomagnetic field experiencing frequent reversals, Earth's surface prior to ~600 million years ago was highly exposed to ionizing radiation. Solar proton events and galactic cosmic rays reached the surface with far greater intensity than today, creating fundamental evolutionary bottlenecks through random DNA damage that undermined genomic stability and disproportionately affected larger, longer-lived, developmentally complex organisms.

Snowball Earth: The Final Barrier (720-635 Million Years Ago)

The most severe constraint on Neoproterozoic terrestrial evolution comes from global glaciation events. Recent modeling and geological evidence confirms that during the Sturtian (717-660 MYA) and Marinoan (650-635 MYA) glaciations, ice sheets extended to equatorial latitudes, effectively encasing Earth's surface in ice for tens of millions of years (Hoffman et al., 2017; Rooney et al., 2015).

These events directly coincide with proposed evolutionary timelines for numerous complex eukaryotic lineages. The physical constraints during Snowball Earth periods—including blocked solar radiation, altered ocean chemistry, and frozen terrestrial surfaces—created selective pressures favoring larger, multicellular organisms in marine environments while rendering terrestrial surface environments categorically unsuitable for complex ecosystem development (Kempes et al., 2024).

While marine refugia beneath ice may have supported continued evolutionary innovation, terrestrial surfaces remained uninhabitable. Extremophile microorganisms likely survived in isolated terrestrial refugia similar to those found in Antarctica today (Husain et al., 2025), but the environmental conditions precluded the major evolutionary radiations and complex multicellular innovations that molecular clocks place during these periods.

The cumulative duration of Snowball Earth conditions—approximately 85 million years during critical periods of eukaryotic diversification—represents a fundamental barrier to terrestrial evolutionary scenarios. Any complex terrestrial ecosystems would have been eliminated, and recolonization could only occur after ice retreat and environmental stabilization.

Quantitative Summary

The convergent evidence establishes unambiguous physical barriers to terrestrial habitability:

- **Thermal barriers**: 70-100°C surface temperatures exceeding tolerance limits by 20-40°C
- **UV barriers**: Three orders of magnitude higher damage rates, <3% viability in 20 minutes
- **Impact barriers**: Ocean-vaporizing events as recent as 4.3 billion years ago
- **Glacial barriers**: 85 million years of frozen surfaces during critical evolutionary periods

These represent not marginal constraints allowing exotic evolutionary scenarios, but absolute barriers exceeding survival thresholds by orders of magnitude. Characterizing lineages with evolutionary radiations during these periods as having "terrestrial origins" contradicts basic environmental physics and chemistry.

Reconciling Molecular Clocks with Environmental Reality

The environmental constraints documented above create fundamental conflicts with currently accepted evolutionary timelines for numerous lineages. Several major taxonomic groups are confidently dated to periods when terrestrial environments were demonstrably uninhabitable, yet continue to be characterized as having terrestrial evolutionary origins. This section examines specific cases where molecular clock data conflicts with environmental evidence and explores marine-origin alternatives that resolve these contradictions.

Molecular clock analyses increasingly place major evolutionary radiations in time periods that coincide with extreme environmental conditions on land. This creates a systematic pattern of conflicts between phylogenetic timelines and environmental feasibility that demands resolution. While molecular clock estimates carry inherent uncertainties, their convergence with environmental reconstructions provides a useful constraint framework when interpreted cautiously.

The resolution is straightforward: these lineages underwent their major evolutionary innovations and radiations in marine environments and subsequently colonized terrestrial habitats following the establishment of suitable surface conditions. This interpretation does not diminish their current ecological importance or terrestrial adaptations, but accurately reflects their evolutionary origins and the environmental context of their early diversification.

Case Study 1: Fungal Evolution During Snowball Earth

The Glomeromycota Problem

The Glomeromycota (arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi) represent a prime example of the timing conflicts between molecular data and environmental constraints. These organisms are universally described as "terrestrial fungi" and cited as among the earliest land-dwelling organisms, with molecular clock analyses placing their divergence at approximately 760-720 million years ago (Redecker et al., 2000; Berbee et al., 2017).

However, these dates overlap directly with the onset of Cryogenian glaciations, creating profound ecological contradictions. During this period, terrestrial ecosystems were not merely challenging—they were categorically uninhabitable for complex multicellular organisms.

Several factors make terrestrial evolution of Glomeromycota during this period implausible:

Lack of host organisms: Vascular plants had not yet evolved, meaning land surfaces lacked the complex root systems that modern arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi require. Without plant hosts, the very ecological niche that defines these organisms did not exist.

Extreme environmental conditions: Surface environments were exposed to intense ultraviolet radiation, unstable geochemistry, and climatic extremes, with little shelter for obligate symbionts requiring stable host relationships.

Snowball Earth extinction: The onset of global glaciation would have eliminated any hypothetical terrestrial habitats. The concept that terrestrial fungi could relocate across thousands of kilometers of glacial terrain and persist through 60-70 million years of Snowball Earth conditions without plant hosts is evolutionarily implausible.

Marine Origin Alternative

A marine-origin scenario resolves these contradictions while remaining both environmentally and phylogenetically consistent:

Benthic marine evolution: Early Glomeromycota could have evolved in benthic marine environments, potentially in symbiosis with photosynthetic algae, microbial mats, or other eukaryotic primary producers. Such niches would have offered greater environmental stability, buffered temperatures, and protection from UV radiation—even under ice-covered oceans.

Symbiotic trait development: Marine settings would have allowed for the diversification of mutualistic traits and the emergence of symbiotic lifestyles well before terrestrial colonization became feasible.

Secondary terrestrial adaptation: When terrestrial plants eventually appeared and began establishing root systems during the Paleozoic, Glomeromycota—or their descendants—would have been well-positioned to partner with them, having already evolved mechanisms for host interaction and nutrient exchange.

Current terrestrial associations of Glomeromycota are likely secondary adaptations, not evidence of terrestrial origin. Continued reference to these organisms as "terrestrial fungi" without addressing environmental contradictions reflects an outdated framework. A more accurate characterization would describe them as "marine-origin mycorrhizal symbionts" that later established terrestrial plant partnerships.

Case Study 2: Gastropod Evolution and Marine-Terrestrial Transitions

Modern gastropods (snails and slugs) occupy both marine and terrestrial environments, leading to assumptions that some terrestrial species represent ancient land-adapted lineages. However, molecular phylogenetics places the major diversification of gastropod clades at the Ediacaran-Cambrian boundary (~550-500 MYA), closely following the termination of Snowball Earth glaciations (Zapata et al., 2014; González et al., 2015).

This timing strongly suggests that early gastropods originated in marine environments and only later colonized land once surface conditions became stable and habitable.

The gastropod body plan includes several pre-adaptations that would have made them ideal early colonizers of terrestrial environments once conditions became suitable:

Desiccation resistance: Mucus secretion enables moisture retention, surface adhesion, and locomotion across irregular substrates—critical for survival in variable terrestrial moisture conditions.

Locomotory advantages: A low center of gravity and broad muscular foot allow movement across complex terrain, including wet soils and irregular surfaces that early terrestrial environments would have provided.

Dormancy capabilities: Many gastropod species can enter aestivation or retreat into moist microhabitats to avoid dehydration, providing resilience against the variable conditions of early terrestrial environments.

Respiratory flexibility: The mantle cavity, originally aquatic, has been evolutionarily modified in many groups into primitive lungs (pallial lungs), allowing air-breathing without requiring complex vascular innovations.

Environmental tolerance: Many gastropods already show benthic or intertidal tolerances in marine environments, pre-adapting them to variable oxygen levels, periodic exposure, and fluctuating temperatures.

Given these pre-adaptations, gastropods would have been among the first organisms capable of exploiting terrestrial niches once surface conditions became ecologically viable. They required no radical morphological overhaul—just suitable microclimate conditions. This contrasts sharply with organisms requiring rigid skeletal support, complex respiratory organs, or desiccation-resistant reproductive strategies.

Despite this evolutionary logic, many accounts still treat terrestrial gastropods as long-established land lineages, overlooking well-documented environmental constraints prior to 550 MYA. The evidence-aligned explanation is that gastropods evolved in marine settings and opportunistically expanded onto land once conditions permitted, aligning with broader evolutionary patterns observed in other molluscan and invertebrate groups.

Case Study 3: Protist Distribution Patterns

Amoebozoan lineages commonly found in modern soils are frequently cited as evidence for ancient terrestrial protistan ecosystems. However, molecular clock analyses and environmental reconstructions reveal significant complications with this interpretation. While some diversification dates overlap with the late Cryogenian (650-550 MYA), this period coincides with extensive global glaciation during which terrestrial surfaces were ecologically unstable or entirely uninhabitable.

The environmental requirements of many soil protists create additional problems for ancient terrestrial evolution scenarios:

Testate amoebae requirements: Organisms that biomineralize silica or organic-walled shells require access to dissolved silica, trace metals, and other ions consistently available in marine environments but scarce in prevegetated terrestrial substrates.

Chemical immaturity of early land: During the Cryogenian, land surfaces were geochemically immature, lacking sustained weathering, developed soils, or vascular plants to cycle nutrients or contribute biogenic silica.

Marine abundance: In contrast, marine environments—particularly coastal and deep-sea sediments—were rich in dissolved silica, carbonates, and trace metals, providing ideal settings for the evolution and radiation of testate forms.

Deep-sea amoebozoans with mineralized tests, some phylogenetically basal, demonstrate that complex amoeboid life had already evolved in stable marine environments long before terrestrial ecosystems became viable. These lineages, including xenophyophores and other large-bodied benthic forms, show morphological and ecological continuity with modern groups and likely persisted through Snowball Earth in marine refugia insulated from surface volatility.

From a biogeographic standpoint, eventual amoebozoan colonization of land would have required only dispersal, not evolutionary innovation. Many modern amoebozoans produce highly durable cysts capable of withstanding desiccation, freezing, and UV radiation—traits facilitating passive dispersal via wind, meltwater, or sediment transport. Once terrestrial conditions improved post-glaciation, particularly with the advent of microbial mats and early phototrophs, amoebozoans would have found ample ecological niches to exploit.

The most parsimonious model suggests that amoebozoan diversification began in marine settings, with multiple independent transitions to terrestrial habitats occurring after land became chemically and physically habitable. Current assumptions of ancient terrestrial origins are better interpreted as modern ecological adaptations rather than ancestral traits.

Rethinking "Freshwater" and "Terrestrial" Descriptors

This pattern of misinterpreting modern ecology as evolutionary history reflects a broader problem in how we characterize lineage origins.

The classification of lineages as "freshwater" or "terrestrial" based on current habitat preferences has created systematic misunderstandings about evolutionary history. These terms, while useful for describing present-day ecology, are often misinterpreted as indicating evolutionary origins, leading to problematic inferences about deep-time evolutionary processes.

Many taxa currently found in freshwater or terrestrial settings belong to broader clades with clear marine origins. Molecular phylogenies consistently place these lineages within marine-rooted branches, suggesting that colonization of freshwater and terrestrial environments occurred secondarily, likely following Cryogenian deglaciation and environmental stabilization.

Physiological evidence supports post-glacial transitions from marine to freshwater and terrestrial environments. Many extant "freshwater" and "terrestrial" organisms possess traits—osmoregulatory mechanisms, regenerative capacity, encystment stages—that facilitate salinity tolerance and environmental plasticity, making marine-to-freshwater-to-terrestrial transitions both evolutionarily plausible and ecologically advantageous.

Prior to ~550 MYA, freshwater habitats would have been both limited and transient. Without stable soils, land plants, or developed hydrological systems, most freshwater settings were likely short-lived meltwater pools or marginal brackish zones associated with ice sheets—environments unsuitable for sustained evolutionary innovation.

While "freshwater" and "terrestrial" remain meaningful ecological descriptors, their use in evolutionary interpretation requires careful qualification. These terms risk being misunderstood as evolutionary origins rather than derived ecological states, particularly when used without reference to environmental feasibility or phylogenetic context.

Microbial Life vs. Complex Multicellular Evolution

A critical distinction must be made between microbial survival and complex multicellular evolutionary innovation. While this paper demonstrates that terrestrial environments were unsuitable for complex multicellular evolution until approximately 600-550 MYA, this does not preclude all forms of terrestrial life during earlier periods. Understanding this distinction is essential for accurately interpreting both the fossil record and the environmental constraints documented above.

What Survived: The Limits of Complexity

Throughout the Cryogenian and earlier periods, life certainly persisted in microbial form. Stromatolites—layered accretionary structures formed by cyanobacterial mats—represent among the most conspicuous examples of resilient biosignatures in the Precambrian rock record. Their survival during Snowball Earth episodes, often in sub-ice or marginal marine refugia, demonstrates that microbial communities were both metabolically and ecologically robust.

However, the persistence of stromatolites should not be interpreted as evidence that complex multicellular life was viable during these same intervals. The distinction between microbial survival and complex multicellular evolution represents a fundamental threshold that environmental conditions either permit or prevent.

Extremophile microorganisms likely evolved and persisted in terrestrial refugia throughout Earth's hostile early history, developing extraordinary adaptations to survive conditions that would be lethal to complex multicellular organisms. These survivors potentially include:

- **Hyperthermophilic microorganisms** adapted to temperatures exceeding 70-100°C
- **UV-resistant microorganisms** with enhanced DNA repair mechanisms or protective compounds
- **Radiation-resistant organisms** capable of surviving high-energy particle bombardment
- **Chemosynthetic communities** independent of surface photosynthesis

These extremophiles represent remarkable evolutionary achievements and provide valuable insights into the limits of life itself. Their study offers windows into early Earth conditions and potential biosignatures for detecting life in extreme environments on other planets.

Modern environments analogous to early Earth conditions—hot springs, hypersaline lakes, high-radiation environments, and polar deserts—harbor extremophile communities that may represent evolutionary continuity with ancient terrestrial survivors. These communities demonstrate that specialized microbial life can persist under conditions that categorically exclude complex multicellular organisms.

What Required Marine Environments

Complex Developmental Programs

The evolution of complex multicellular organisms requires environmental stability to support:

- **Coordinated developmental sequences** spanning extended time periods
- **Specialized tissue differentiation** requiring consistent chemical conditions
- **Integrated physiological systems** sensitive to environmental fluctuations
- **Reproductive strategies** involving vulnerable life stages

These developmental innovations demand environmental conditions that were absent from terrestrial surfaces during most of Earth's early history but were available in buffered marine environments.

Ecosystem-Level Organization

Complex multicellular evolution also requires ecosystem-level stability to support:

- **Food web development** with multiple trophic levels
- **Nutrient cycling** among diverse organisms
- **Habitat structure** providing ecological niches
- **Population dynamics** allowing evolutionary experimentation

Marine environments provided these ecosystem-level prerequisites during periods when terrestrial surfaces lacked the basic geochemical and physical stability necessary for complex ecological organization.

Size and Metabolic Scaling

Complex multicellular organisms face fundamental scaling challenges that become more severe under environmental stress:

- **Surface area to volume ratios** affect heat and chemical exchange
- **Metabolic demands** increase with organism size and complexity
- **Structural requirements** for maintaining tissue integrity
- **Homeostatic mechanisms** for buffering environmental variation

The environmental extremes characterizing early terrestrial surfaces created selective pressures against size and complexity, favoring the small size and metabolic flexibility that characterize extremophile microorganisms.

The Ecological Ceiling Effect

The prevalence of stromatolites, biofilms, and early lichen-like forms during and immediately after Snowball Earth may reflect an ecological ceiling rather than evolutionary potential. Microbial consortia occupied nearly every marginally habitable surface niche, but environmental constraints prevented further increases in organizational complexity.

This pattern suggests that while life was tenacious and adaptable at the microbial level, the transition to truly complex multicellular organization required not just survival capabilities but environmental stability, oxygenation, nutrient cycling, and substrate integrity that were absent from terrestrial environments until much later.

The environmental constraints documented in this paper operated as filters, permitting certain types of evolutionary innovation while preventing others:

- **Permitted**: Metabolic flexibility, dormancy strategies, repair mechanisms, chemical tolerance
- **Prevented**: Large size, tissue differentiation, complex development, ecosystem integration

This filtering effect explains why microbial life flourished while complex multicellular evolution was constrained to marine environments during Earth's early history.

Implications for Evolutionary Interpretation

The environmental constraints documented in this synthesis require updates to how we characterize the evolutionary history of numerous lineages and open new research opportunities across multiple disciplines. Rather than representing corrections to be avoided, these updates reflect normal scientific progress—the continuous refinement of understanding as environmental evidence becomes more precise and comprehensive.

Updating Evolutionary Characterizations

Specific Lineage Recommendations

Several major taxonomic groups would benefit from updated characterizations that reflect environmental context and avoid circular reasoning based on modern ecological associations:

Glomeromycota (Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi)

- **Current characterization**: "Terrestrial fungi" or "ancient land symbionts"
- **Recommended update**: "Marine-origin mycorrhizal symbionts with secondary terrestrial plant partnerships"
- **Rationale**: 760-720 MYA divergence during Snowball Earth glaciations precludes terrestrial evolution; marine symbiotic evolution followed by terrestrial colonization provides environmentally consistent scenario

Gastropod Lineages

- **Current characterization**: Various species described as "ancient terrestrial lineages"
- **Recommended update**: "Marine lineages with terrestrial adaptations" for species with Ediacaran-Cambrian divergence dates
- **Rationale**: 550-500 MYA diversification coincides with post-Snowball Earth environmental amelioration; marine evolution followed by opportunistic terrestrial colonization aligns with gastropod pre-adaptations

Soil Protist Communities

- **Current characterization**: "Ancient terrestrial ecosystems" or "primordial soil fauna"
- **Recommended update**: "Marine-origin protists with secondary soil colonization"
- **Rationale**: Late Cryogenian diversification during global glaciation; marine evolution provides geochemical requirements for biomineralization and complex life cycles

"Freshwater" Lineages

- **Current characterization**: Often implies ancient freshwater evolution
- **Recommended update**: Acknowledge likely marine origins with subsequent freshwater colonization
- **Rationale**: Limited and transient freshwater habitats prior to ~550 MYA; marine-to-freshwater transitions supported by physiological evidence

Principles for Accurate Characterization

Future evolutionary characterizations should incorporate several principles:

1. **Environmental feasibility checks**: Evaluate whether proposed environments could support the evolutionary processes indicated by molecular clocks
2. **Temporal context integration**: Consider contemporaneous environmental conditions when interpreting divergence dates
3. **Phylogenetic consistency**: Ensure characterizations align with broader phylogenetic patterns of marine origins
4. **Distinction between ecology and evolution**: Separate modern ecological associations from inferred evolutionary origins

Research Opportunities

Extremophiles as Windows into Early Terrestrial Life

Identifying and studying terrestrial extremophile lineages that may have persisted through environmentally hostile periods represents a high-priority research frontier. These organisms could provide:

Living laboratories for understanding survival mechanisms under early Earth conditions, including DNA repair systems, protective compounds, and metabolic flexibility that enabled persistence through extreme UV radiation, temperature fluctuations, and chemical instability.

Evolutionary bridges connecting the earliest terrestrial colonizers with later complex ecosystems, potentially preserving ancient metabolic pathways and survival strategies that were subsequently lost in more derived lineages.

Biomarker sources for identifying ancient terrestrial life in the geological record, developing biosignatures that could distinguish between marine and terrestrial evolutionary processes in deep time.

Astrobiology models for understanding life's potential on other planets with hostile surface conditions, providing insights into the environmental thresholds for different types of biological organization.

Potential research targets include hyperthermophilic communities in hot springs, radiation-resistant organisms in high-energy environments, and chemosynthetic communities independent of photosynthesis.

Marine Evolutionary Ecology Investigations

Current assumptions about terrestrial origins have limited research into the marine evolutionary ecology of lineages now found on land. Priority research directions include:

Symbiotic relationship evolution in marine environments, particularly investigating how mutualistic partnerships that characterize terrestrial ecosystems (such as mycorrhizal associations) might have evolved in marine settings before terrestrial colonization.

Pre-adaptation mechanisms that enabled successful marine-to-terrestrial transitions, including physiological, morphological, and behavioral traits that provided advantages for terrestrial colonization.

Marine habitat analogues for supposed terrestrial evolutionary environments, examining whether marine ecosystems during the Proterozoic provided ecological niches similar to those occupied by these lineages today on land.

Transitional environment ecology focusing on intertidal, estuarine, and marginal marine habitats that may have served as evolutionary stepping stones during the transition from marine to terrestrial environments.

Refined Molecular Clock Integration

Molecular clock analyses could be enhanced by incorporating environmental constraints as boundary conditions:

Environmental feasibility modeling to evaluate whether proposed evolutionary scenarios are compatible with known environmental conditions, using quantitative environmental data as constraints on phylogenetic interpretations.

Habitat transition timing studies that focus on when lineages established terrestrial populations rather than when they first diverged, potentially revealing long periods of marine evolution followed by rapid terrestrial colonization.

Comparative biogeography examining patterns of marine-to-terrestrial colonization across multiple lineages to identify environmental triggers and evolutionary prerequisites for successful habitat transitions.

Uncertainty quantification that explicitly acknowledges environmental constraints in molecular clock confidence intervals, particularly for divergence dates that coincide with periods of environmental impossibility.

Educational and Scientific Benefits

Enhanced Accuracy in Evolutionary Biology

Incorporating environmental constraints into evolutionary characterizations provides several benefits:

Internal consistency across disciplines, ensuring that evolutionary scenarios align with well-established environmental evidence from geology, climatology, and atmospheric science.

Predictive power for understanding evolutionary patterns, using environmental history to generate testable hypotheses about lineage origins and colonization patterns.

Mechanistic understanding of evolutionary processes, connecting environmental conditions to selective pressures and evolutionary outcomes in ways that purely phylogenetic analyses cannot achieve.

Improved Public Understanding

Accurate evolutionary characterizations enhance public science education by:

Emphasizing environmental context in evolutionary history, helping students understand that evolution occurs within environmental constraints rather than in isolation.

Highlighting scientific progress through the integration of evidence from multiple disciplines, demonstrating how scientific understanding improves as new data becomes available.

Connecting deep time to modern environments, showing how Earth's environmental history shaped the biological world that students observe today.

Interdisciplinary Collaboration Opportunities

This framework encourages collaboration between:

Evolutionary biologists and Earth scientists to develop integrated models of biological and environmental history that provide mutual constraints on interpretation.

Molecular phylogeneticists and paleoclimatologists to incorporate quantitative environmental data into evolutionary timescale analyses.

Astrobiology and extremophile researchers to understand environmental thresholds for different types of biological organization and their implications for life detection strategies.

Collaborative Research Priorities

Environmental Threshold Modeling

Developing quantitative models for environmental thresholds that permit different types of evolutionary innovation:

Temperature tolerance limits for complex multicellular development, integrating physiological data with paleoclimate reconstructions to establish boundaries for terrestrial evolution.

Chemical stability requirements for complex ecosystems, examining how geochemical volatility constrains evolutionary complexity and ecosystem organization.

Radiation exposure limits for sustained evolutionary innovation, modeling the relationship between UV/cosmic radiation levels and the feasibility of complex life cycles.

Colonization Mechanism Studies

Investigating how marine lineages successfully transitioned to terrestrial environments:

Physiological pre-adaptations that enabled terrestrial survival, examining traits that evolved in marine environments but proved advantageous for terrestrial colonization.

Dispersal mechanisms that facilitated habitat transitions, including both active migration and passive transport processes.

Ecological opportunity recognition examining how environmental changes created new niches that marine lineages could exploit on land.

Comparative Evolutionary Analysis

Examining patterns across multiple lineages to identify general principles:

Convergent terrestrial adaptations among marine-origin lineages, identifying common solutions to terrestrial survival challenges.

Timing correlations between environmental amelioration and terrestrial colonization events across different taxonomic groups.

Evolutionary constraint patterns examining how different environmental barriers affected different types of organisms.

Implementation Pathways

Research Standards Enhancement

Future studies can strengthen evolutionary inference by:

1. **Routine environmental context inclusion** in molecular clock analyses and phylogenetic studies
2. **Explicit acknowledgment of uncertainty** about terrestrial versus marine origins for lineages with pre-600 MYA divergence dates
3. **Hypothesis testing frameworks** that evaluate environmental feasibility alongside phylogenetic evidence
4. **Interdisciplinary review processes** that ensure evolutionary scenarios are consistent with environmental constraints

Educational Integration

Teaching materials and curricula can be enhanced by:

1. **Environmental timeline integration** showing relationships between Earth's environmental history and major evolutionary events
2. **Case study development** demonstrating how environmental evidence constrains evolutionary interpretation

3. **Critical thinking emphasis** encouraging students to evaluate the environmental feasibility of proposed evolutionary scenarios
4. **Research process illustration** showing how scientific understanding evolves as new evidence becomes available

The goal is not to invalidate existing research but to build upon it with improved environmental context, ultimately strengthening both evolutionary biology and Earth system science through more accurate and internally consistent frameworks for understanding life's remarkable history.

Conclusion

Recent advances in Earth system science provide unprecedented clarity about when terrestrial environments first became suitable for complex multicellular evolution. The convergent evidence from four independent lines of inquiry—thermal constraints, UV radiation levels, impact bombardment, and global glaciation events—establishes unambiguous temporal boundaries for terrestrial habitability that extend far later than commonly assumed in evolutionary literature.

Summary of Quantitative Evidence

The environmental barriers documented in this synthesis are not marginal constraints that might permit exotic evolutionary scenarios, but absolute thresholds that exceeded survival limits for complex multicellular organisms by orders of magnitude:

- **Surface temperatures of 70-100°C** persisted through much of the Hadean-Archean, exceeding thermal tolerance limits by 20-40°C above even the most extreme hyperthermophilic organisms known today
- **UV radiation levels three orders of magnitude higher than present** reduced organism viability to less than 3% within 20 minutes of surface exposure, creating sterilization conditions fundamentally incompatible with complex ecosystem development
- **Impact bombardment capable of ocean vaporization** occurred as recently as 4.3 billion years ago, with ecosystem-disrupting events continuing throughout the Hadean and early Archean
- **Snowball Earth glaciations lasting approximately 85 million years** encased terrestrial surfaces in ice during critical periods when molecular clocks indicate major eukaryotic diversification

These constraints demonstrate that terrestrial surface environments remained categorically unsuitable for complex multicellular evolution until approximately 600-550 million years ago, coinciding with Snowball Earth termination and atmospheric stabilization.

Resolving Evolutionary Timeline Conflicts

The systematic conflicts between molecular clock data and environmental constraints require resolution through updated evolutionary characterizations that acknowledge marine origins for lineages currently described as having terrestrial evolutionary histories. The case studies examined—including Glomeromycota, gastropods, and soil protists—illustrate a consistent pattern where supposed terrestrial evolution coincides with periods of environmental impossibility, while marine origin scenarios provide environmentally consistent and phylogenetically plausible alternatives.

This resolution does not diminish the remarkable terrestrial adaptations these lineages subsequently developed, but accurately reflects the environmental context of their early diversification and the subsequent colonization of terrestrial habitats as surface conditions became suitable.

The Survival-Innovation Distinction

A critical insight emerging from this analysis is the distinction between microbial survival and complex multicellular evolutionary innovation. While extremophile microorganisms likely persisted in specialized terrestrial refugia throughout Earth's hostile early history, developing extraordinary adaptations to survive extreme conditions, the environmental requirements for major evolutionary radiations and complex ecosystem development were absent from terrestrial environments until much later.

Recognizing this distinction clarifies the fossil record, explains molecular divergence patterns, and opens important research directions into extremophile biology as windows into early terrestrial survival strategies. The persistence of stromatolites and microbial communities during periods of environmental extremity does not contradict the thesis that complex multicellular evolution required marine environments—it supports it by demonstrating the types of life that could persist under conditions too extreme for more complex forms.

Scientific Progress Through Integration

The framework presented here represents normal scientific progress—the continuous refinement of understanding as environmental evidence becomes more comprehensive and precise. Many current evolutionary characterizations reflect the state of knowledge when environmental constraints were less well understood. As our understanding of early Earth conditions has advanced dramatically through geological, climatological, and astrobiological research, evolutionary characterizations can be updated accordingly.

This integration strengthens both evolutionary biology and Earth system science by providing internally consistent frameworks that honor evidence from multiple disciplines. Rather than viewing these updates as corrections to be avoided, the scientific community can embrace them as opportunities to achieve greater accuracy and predictive power in understanding life's history.

Future Research Directions

The environmental constraints documented here open several high-priority research directions:

Extremophile studies offer windows into early terrestrial survival strategies and potential biosignatures for ancient life detection. These organisms preserve evolutionary solutions to environmental challenges that characterized early Earth and provide astrobiology models for life under hostile conditions.

Marine evolutionary ecology investigations can explore how lineages currently found on land evolved in marine environments, examining symbiotic relationships, pre-adaptations for terrestrial colonization, and transitional environments that facilitated habitat transitions.

Environmental threshold modeling can establish quantitative boundaries for different types of evolutionary innovation, providing frameworks for evaluating the feasibility of proposed evolutionary scenarios across deep time.

Interdisciplinary collaboration between evolutionary biologists, Earth scientists, and astrobiologists can develop integrated models that use environmental history and biological evolution as mutual constraints on interpretation.

Implications for Understanding Life's History

Acknowledging the marine origins of lineages currently characterized as terrestrial provides a more accurate picture of evolution as a fundamentally dynamic process of environmental colonization and adaptation. Life's history emerges not as a series of independent evolutionary experiments in different environments, but as a coordinated expansion from marine environments into progressively more challenging habitats as environmental barriers were removed.

This perspective highlights the remarkable achievement of terrestrial colonization while accurately contextualizing when and how it became possible. Complex multicellular life required the buffered, stable conditions of marine environments for its initial diversification, but subsequently demonstrated extraordinary adaptability in colonizing terrestrial habitats once they became environmentally feasible.

The Path Forward

The quantitative environmental evidence is unambiguous: terrestrial surface environments remained unsuitable for complex multicellular evolution far longer than commonly assumed. Future research proposing pre-Ediacaran terrestrial evolutionary radiations should address these environmental constraints explicitly or acknowledge that such complex evolutionary innovations likely occurred in marine environments, with terrestrial colonization following the establishment of suitable surface conditions.

This environmental reality must be incorporated into evolutionary models to maintain scientific credibility and internal consistency across disciplines. The goal is not to constrain evolutionary thinking but to ensure that evolutionary scenarios are grounded in environmental feasibility, ultimately providing more accurate and robust frameworks for understanding life's remarkable history of adaptation and colonization.

By embracing this integration of environmental and evolutionary evidence, we achieve not only greater scientific accuracy but also a deeper appreciation for both the environmental challenges that shaped early life and the extraordinary biological innovations that enabled life's eventual conquest of terrestrial environments. The story of life's expansion onto land becomes not less remarkable when properly contextualized environmentally, but more so—representing one of evolution's most significant achievements in overcoming seemingly insurmountable environmental barriers.

Acknowledgements

The author conceptualized the study, developed the theoretical framework, and conducted all analyses. This research received no external funding and was conducted using personally acquired resources. No new data was created for this article.

The author acknowledges Molecular Biologist GPT, Scholar GPT, and Claude AI for their assistance with manuscript preparation, data interpretation discussions, and research development over the course of this investigation.

References

Abramov, O., & Mojzsis, S. J. (2016). Direct thermal effects of the Hadean bombardment did not limit early subsurface habitability. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 442, 108-120.

Anderson, R. P., Mughal, S., & Wedlake, G. O. (2024). Proterozoic microfossils continue to provide new insights into the rise of complex eukaryotic life. *Royal Society Open Science*, 11(7), 240154.

Antell, G. T., & Saupe, E. E. (2021). Bottom-up controls, ecological revolutions and diversification in the oceans through time. *Current Biology*, 31(19), R1237-R1251.

Berbee, M. L., et al. (2017). The phylogeny of plant and animal pathogens in the Ascomycota. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 100, 5-13.

Bowles, A. M. C., Williamson, C. J., Williams, T. A., & Donoghue, P. C. J. (2024). Cryogenian origins of multicellularity in Archaeplastida. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 16(2), evae026.

Bowles, A. M., Williamson, C. J., Williams, T. A., Lenton, T. M., & Donoghue, P. C. (2023). The origin and early evolution of plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, 28(3), 312-329.

Braakman, R. (2019). Evolution of cellular metabolism and the rise of a globally productive biosphere. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 140, 172-187.

Brocks, J. J., Jarrett, A. J. M., Sirantoine, E., Hallmann, C., Hoshino, Y., & Liyanage, T. (2017). The rise of algae in Cryogenian oceans and the emergence of animals. *Nature*, 548(7669), 578-581.

Brocks, J. J., Jarrett, A. J., Sirantoine, E., et al. (2016). Early sponges and toxic protists: possible sources of cryostane, an age diagnostic biomarker antedating Sturtian Snowball Earth. *Geobiology*, 14(2), 129-149.

Chaverot, G., et al. (2024). Resilience of snowball Earth to stochastic events. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 51(14), e2024GL109512.

Chen, X., et al. (2019). UV radiation on early Earth: Atmospheric shielding and biological implications. *Astrobiology*, 19(8), 1065-1082.

Chen, Z., Doğan, Ö., Guiglielmoni, N., Guichard, A., & Schrödl, M. (2022). Pulmonate slug evolution is reflected in the de novo genome of Arion vulgaris Moquin-Tandon, 1855. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 14226.

Cnossen, I., et al. (2007). The habitat of early life: Solar X-ray and UV radiation at Earth's surface 4-3.5 billion years ago. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112, E02008.

Cockell, C. S. (2000). The ultraviolet history of the terrestrial planets–implications for biological evolution. *Planetary and Space Science*, 48(2-3), 203-214.

Cockell, C. S., et al. (2000). Ultraviolet radiation and Mars. *Icarus*, 146(2), 343-359.

Crockett, W. W., Shaw, J. O., Simpson, C., & Kempes, C. P. (2024). Physical constraints during Snowball Earth drive the evolution of multicellularity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 291(2025), 20232767.

Dacks, J. B., Field, M. C., Buick, R., et al. (2016). The changing view of eukaryogenesis - fossils, cells, lineages and how they all come together. *Journal of Cell Science*, 129(20), 3695-3703.

de Wit, M. J., & Furnes, H. (2016). 3.5-Ga hydrothermal fields and diamictites in the Barberton Greenstone Belt—Paleoarchean crust in cold environments. *Science Advances*, 2(2), e1500368.

Eberhard, J., Bevan, O. E., Feulner, G., Petri, S., van Hunen, J., & Baldini, J. U. L. (2023). Sensitivity of Neoproterozoic snowball-Earth inceptions to continental configuration, orbital geometry, and volcanism. *Climate of the Past*, 19, 2203-2235.

Eckford-Soper, L. K., Andersen, K. H., Hansen, T. F., & Canfield, D. E. (2022). A case for an active eukaryotic marine biosphere during the Proterozoic era. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(41), e2122042119.

Eme, L., Spang, A., Lombard, J., et al. (2017). Archaea and the origin of eukaryotes. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 15, 711-723.

Faria, R., Johannesson, K., & Stankowski, S. (2021). Speciation in marine environments: Diving under the surface. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 34(1), 4-15.

Gan, T., Tian, M., Wang, X.-K., Wang, S., Liu, X.-M., Jiang, G., Gill, B. C., et al. (2024). Lithium isotope evidence for a plumeworld ocean in the aftermath of the Marinoan snowball Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(46), e2407419121.

González, V. L., et al. (2015). A phylogenetic backbone for Bivalvia: An RNA-seq approach. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 282(1801), 20142332.

Gribaldo, S., & Brochier-Armanet, C. (2020). Evolutionary relationships between archaea and eukaryotes. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 4(1), 20-21.

Hamilton, T. L., Bryant, D. A., & Macalady, J. L. (2016). The role of biology in planetary evolution: cyanobacterial primary production in low-oxygen Proterozoic oceans.

Environmental Microbiology, 18(2), 325-340.

Han, X., et al. (2024). Out of the ocean: the timescale of molluscan evolution based on phylogenomics revealed the ages of mollusks' evolutionary transitions into the novel environment. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 12, 1327007.

Hoffman, P. F. (2025). Ecosystem relocation on Snowball Earth: Polar–alpine ancestry of the extant surface biosphere? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 122(20), e2414059122.

Hoffman, P. F., et al. (2017). Snowball Earth climate dynamics and Cryogenian geology-geobiology. *Science Advances*, 3(11), e1600983.

Hood, A. V. S., Penman, D. E., Lechte, M. A., Wallace, M. W., Giddings, J. A., & Planavsky, N. J. (2022). Neoproterozoic syn-glacial carbonate precipitation and implications for a snowball Earth. *Geobiology*, 20(2), 175-193.

Horneck, G., et al. (2001). Protection of bacterial spores in space, a contribution to the discussion on Panspermia. *Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere*, 31(6), 527-547.

Hörner, J., Voigt, A., & Braun, C. (2022). Snowball Earth initiation and the thermodynamics of sea ice. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 14(8), e2022MS003046.

Hoshino, Y., & Gaucher, E. A. (2024). Impact of steroid biosynthesis on the aerobic adaptation of eukaryotes. *Geobiology*, 22(4), e12612.

Hoshino, Y., Poshibaeva, A., Meredith, W., et al. (2017). Cryogenian evolution of stigmasteroid biosynthesis. *Science Advances*, 3(9), e1700887.

Husain, F., et al. (2025). Biosignatures of diverse eukaryotic life from a Snowball Earth analogue environment in Antarctica. *Nature Communications*, 16(1), 1-16.

Johnson, C. M., et al. (2022). Early Archean biogeochemical iron cycling and nutrient availability: New insights from a 3.5 Ga land-sea transition. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 228, 103992.

Kaiho, K., & Oshima, N. (2017). Site of asteroid impact changed the history of life on Earth. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 14855.

Kang, S., et al. (2017). Between a pod and a hard test: The deep evolution of amoebae. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(9), 2258-2270.

Kempes, C. P., et al. (2024). Physical constraints during Snowball Earth drive the evolution of multicellularity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 291, 20232767.

Knauth, L. P. (2005). Temperature and salinity history of the Precambrian ocean: Implications for the course of microbial evolution. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 219(1-2), 53-69.

Knoll, A. H., Bergmann, K. D., & Strauss, J. V. (2016). Life: the first two billion years. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 371(1707), 20150493.

Koonin, E. V. (2015). Archaeal ancestors of eukaryotes: not so elusive any more. *BMC Biology*, 13, 84.

Laakso, T. A., Sperling, E. A., Johnston, D. T., & Knoll, A. H. (2020). Ediacaran reorganization of the marine phosphorus cycle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(22), 11961-11967.

Love, G. D., Grosjean, E., Stalvies, C., et al. (2009). Fossil steroids record the appearance of Demospongiae during the Cryogenian period. *Nature*, 457(7230), 718-721.

Lyons, T. W., et al. (2014). The rise of oxygen in Earth's early ocean and atmosphere. *Nature*, 506, 307-315.

Melott et al., 2005. Did a gamma-ray burst initiate the late Ordovician mass extinction? *International Journal of Astrobiology.*

Millar, J. L. (2022). Capability of glacial surface ecosystems as refuges for life on the Cryogenian Snowball Earth. PhD dissertation, Cardiff University.

Mills, D. B., Boyle, R. A., Daines, S. J., et al. (2022). Eukaryogenesis and oxygen in Earth history. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 6(5), 520-532.

Morbidelli, A., et al. (2018). The timeline of the lunar bombardment: Revisited. *Icarus*, 305, 262-276.

O'Connell, B., Wallace, M. W., Hood, A. V. S., Rebbechi, L., & Brooks, H. L. (2022). Deep water cuspate stromatolites of the Cryogenian Trezona Formation. *Geobiology*, 20(2), 194-215.

Planavsky, N. J., et al. (2021). Evolution of the structure and impact of Earth's biosphere. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2(2), 123-139.

Pruss, S. B., & Gill, B. C. (2024). Life on the edge: The Cambrian marine realm and oxygenation. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 52, 375-403.

Redecker, D., et al. (2000). Glomalean fungi from the Ordovician. *Science*, 289(5486), 1920-1921.

Reinhard, C. T., & Planavsky, N. J. (2011). The influence of continental arc volcanism on atmospheric pCO_2. *Nature*, 477, 478-481.

Reinhard, C. T., Planavsky, N. J., Ward, B. A., Love, G. D., Le Hir, G., & Ridgwell, A. (2020). The impact of marine nutrient abundance on early eukaryotic ecosystems. *Geobiology*, 18(2), 139-151.

Rooney, A. D., et al. (2015). Re-Os geochronology and coupled Os-Sr isotope constraints on the Sturtian snowball Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(1), 51-56.

Sahoo, S. K., Planavsky, N. J., Kendall, B., et al. (2012). Ocean oxygenation in the wake of the Marinoan glaciation. *Nature*, 489(7417), 546-549.

Schuerger, A. C., et al. (2003). Survival of endospores of Bacillus subtilis on spacecraft surfaces under simulated martian environments. *Icarus*, 165(2), 253-276.

Siozos, A., Markozanes, F., Gagaras, G., Polychroni, I., Laios, K., Arsenis, S., Roussi, V., & Papaioannou, C. (2023). The Snowball Earth Episodes. *Environmental Sciences Proceedings*, 26(1), 64.

Sleep, N. H., et al. (2001). Initiation of clement surface conditions on the earliest Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98(7), 3666-3672.

Tang, Q., Pang, K., Yuan, X., & Xiao, S. (2020). A one-billion-year-old multicellular chlorophyte. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 4(4), 543-549.

Tang, Q., Wan, B., Yuan, X., Muscente, A. D., & Xiao, S. (2019). Spiculogenesis and biomineralization in early sponge animals. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 3348.

Turner, E. C. (2021). Possible poriferan body fossils in early Neoproterozoic microbial reefs. *Nature*, 596(7870), 87-91.

van Maldegem, L. M., Sansjofre, P., Weijers, J. W. H., et al. (2019). Bisnorgammacerane traces predatory pressure and the persistent rise of algal ecosystems after Snowball Earth. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 476.

Zapata, F., et al. (2014). Phylogenomic analyses of deep gastropod relationships reject Orthogastropoda. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 281(1794), 20141739.